

Date: June 16, 2021

RE: Village Hall and Site Construction, EN2104 & EN2105– Request for information

Thank you for your interest in the Village’s project. Highlighted responses to requests for information are listed below. Please note the list is a compilation of questions submitted from multiple Contractors and Suppliers.

Previous RFI responses and addenda can be viewed at: <http://www.royalpalmbeach.com/rfps>

Sincerely,

Christopher Marsh, P.E.
Village Engineer
Office: (561) 791-7092
Village of Royal Palm Beach
Engineering Department
1050 Royal Palm Beach Boulevard
Royal Palm Beach, FL 33411
cmarsh@royalpalmbeach.com

From: Al Ziri <al.ziri@kvconstructors.com>
Sent: Monday, June 14, 2021 10:47 AM
To: Christopher Marsh <CMarsh@RoyalPalmBeach.com>
Subject: RPB Village Hall

The Village will be issuing an Addendum to address the conflicting language in the plans and Bid Documents as noted below:

Q / A	Reference	Description
Q1	General	Is there a geotech soils report available?
A1		<i>Yes, an addendum will be issued to add this report</i>
Q2	General	Is there a survey plan showing existing elevations? Current plans do not show any.
A2		<i>Yes, an addendum will be issued to add the existing survey</i>
Q3	Specs	Division 08: YKK & Viracon are the specified products. Can we provide equivalent products?
A3		<i>Both YKK & Viracon are listed as the Basis of Design in the project. As stated in spec section 016000, 2.1 B 7: approval of product by unnamed manufactures shall comply with the requirements of Section 012500 for a Substitution of Convenience. Product information would have to be submitted for review and approval by Architect and Owner.</i>
Q4	A-0.10	5/8" drywall is mentioned for partitions throughout however specs section calls for ½" drywall at ceilings. Please confirm.
A4		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><i>5/8" drywall is for wall assemblies as noted in Spec Section 092900, 2.3 B and concurrently in the wall type schedules (sheet A-0.10).</i><i>1/2" drywall is for ceiling assemblies as noted in Spec Section 092900, 2.3 D.</i><i>The only instance where 5/8" drywall may need to be used in drywall ceilings is when required for fire-rated ceilings assemblies (UL or AER).</i>
Q5	A-0.10	General notes 33 states that contractor is to employ a material testing company. Specs section 01400, 1.8 A and also section 03300, 2.2A states that it is the Owner responsibility. Please clarify.

A5		<p><i>Section 01400, 1.8 A notes Owner's responsibilities, <u>should</u> the Owner be responsible for certain quality control services, it does <u>NOT</u> explicitly indicate which of these services are the responsibility of the Owner vs. Contractor, those are stated in individual specification sections as required.</i></p> <p><i>Section 01400, 1.8 B notes that test and inspections not explicitly assigned to the Owner are the Contractor's responsibility.</i></p> <p><i>As a default, the Contractor will be responsible for engaging the services of all testing agencies.</i></p> <p><i>Specification Sections that may conflict will be modified via addendum.</i></p>
Q6	S-1.00	F90 footing not in schedule but shown in floor plan.
A6		<i>An addendum will be issued for the following. Footing added to footing schedule on S-2.</i>
Q7	S-3.00	TB-6 and B-17 as shown on detail 6 do not match the size shown in beam schedule.
A7		<i>An addendum will be issued for the following. TB-6 reinforcement revised in schedule on S-2. Section 6 on S-3 beam size revised to match beam schedule</i>

From: Leah Griffin <lgriffin@statecontracting.com>

Sent: Friday, June 11, 2021 3:21 PM

To: Christopher Marsh <CMarsh@RoyalPalmBeach.com>

Cc: Vickie Day <vday@RoyalPalmBeach.com>; Adrian Viera <aviera@statecontracting.com>

Subject: SCEC RFI 03 - Village Hall Construction EN2104 & EN2105

1. Sheet E-401 refers to Panels ACA, ACB, ACC & ACD. Panel Schedules for those Panels do not appear to be included on the drawings. Please clarify or provide Panel Schedules.

Addendum Three was issued on 6/11/2021 which was revised plan page FP-102 to address this correction.

From: Leah Griffin <lgriffin@statecontracting.com>

Sent: Tuesday, June 8, 2021 10:01 AM

To: Christopher Marsh <CMarsh@RoyalPalmBeach.com>

Cc: Vickie Day <vday@RoyalPalmBeach.com>; Adrian Viera <aviera@statecontracting.com>

Subject: RFI - Village Hall Construction EN2104 & EN2105

1. As per Specification 28311, the fire alarm system is to match the existing onsite campus system. Please provide the name of the existing manufacturer and most current certifier.

Honeywell Fire-Lite panels are at the existing Village Hall complex.

Our current fire alarm monitoring company:

Chris Cisco

1st Fire & Security

610 1st St.

Vero Beach, FL 32962

Ph: (772) 794-2220

Fax: (772) 794-2204

From: Leah Griffin <lgriffin@statecontracting.com>

Sent: Tuesday, June 8, 2021 10:43 AM

To: Christopher Marsh <CMarsh@RoyalPalmBeach.com>

Cc: Vickie Day <vday@RoyalPalmBeach.com>; Adrian Viera <aviera@statecontracting.com>

Subject: RFI - Village Hall Construction EN2104 & EN2105

1. On FP-102 Server Rm 214 the notes say to "relocate clean agent bottle & piping from existing Server Rm...". Please confirm if an analysis of the existing room volume versus the new room volume aligns with a tank size need that matches the existing tank being called out for relocation. As a prudent suggestion, an entire new Clean Agent System should be provided at the new Server Room.

An entire new Clean Agent System will be provided in the new Server Room. Specification for the new system is called out in the FP drawings. The Village will be issuing an Addendum to address the conflicting language in the plans.